		Case 3:06-cv-01927-SI Document 16	Filed 10/11/2006 Page 1 of 3
ATTORNEYS AT LAW ATTORNEYS AT LAW P.O. BOX 5288, WALNUT CREEK, CA 94596 TELEPHONE: (925) 939-5330	1 2 3 4 5 6	JAMES V. FITZGERALD, III (State Bar No. NOAH G. BLECHMAN (State Bar No. 1971 McNamara, Dodge, Ney, Beatty, Slatter Pfalzer, Borges & Brothers LLP 1211 Newell Avenue Post Office Box 5288 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Telephone: (925) 939-5330 Facsimile: (925) 939-0203 Attorneys for Defendant	67) RY,
	7	CITY OF PINOLE, SGT. WILL PALMINI, and OFFICER MATT MESSIER	
	8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	10		
	11	MERCEL GAY,	Case No. C06-01927 SI
	12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING ADR CUT OFF
	13	VS.	DEADLINE AND FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
	14 15 16 17 18	TOYS R US, a corporation, CITY OF PINOLE, a Municipal Corporation, SGT. WILL PALMINI, Pinole Police Officer, individually and as a Pinole Police Department Sergeant, MATT MESSIER, individually and as a Pinole Police Officer, L. MORRIS, individually and as a Pinole Police Officer, and Officer Catherine McDonald, individually and as a Pinole Police Officer,	Judge: Honorable Susan Illston Further CMC: October 19, 2006
	20	Defendants.	
	21	Plaintiff Mercel Gay ("Gay"), and Defendants TOYS R US, CITY OF PINOLE, SGT.	
	22	WILL PALMINI, and OFFICER MATT MESSIER ("Defendants"), through their respective	
	23	attorneys of record in this action agree and stipulate as follows:	
	24	WHEREAS, the parties previously scheduled an ENE session with evaluator Mr. William	
	25	Chapman for August 25, 2006.	
	26	WHEREAS, due to a scheduling problem with an indispensable party representative, the	
	27	ENE conference needed to be continued. The parties then had difficulty rescheduling the ENE	
	28	conference due to evaluator Mr. Chapman's unavailability.	

STIPULATION AND ORDER CONTINUING ADR DEADLINE AND FURTHER CMC

McNamara, Dodge, Ney, Beatty, Slattery, Pfalzer, Borges & Brothers Llp

WHEREAS, the deadline for the ENE per prior order of the Court was September 25,

28

1

2	2006.		
3	WHEREAS, the parties have now rescheduled the ENE with all parties and evaluator Mr.		
4	Chapman for October 31, 2006.		
5	WHEREAS, this matter is currently set for a further Case Management Conference on		
6	October 19, 2006, with Your Honor.		
7	WHEREAS, the parties hereby agree to seek an extension of time to perform the ENE in		
8	this matter per the above rescheduled ENE conference.		
9	WHEREAS, the parties hereby agree to seek to continue the further Case Management		
10	Conference of October 19 th per order of the Court below, to occur subsequent to the currently set		
11	ENE conference of October 31, 2006.		
12	WHEREAS, the ADR extension agreed upon by the parties will not disrupt or otherwise		
13	affect the trial date or other relevant dates in this case		
14	THEREFORE, the parties stipulate, through their counsel of record, to the following:		
15			
16	(1) The ADR deadline cut off currently scheduled for September 25, 2006,		
17	shall be continued until the date of the continued case management conference, listed below; and		
18	(2) The current case management conference of October 19 th be continued to a		
19	date following the October 31, 2006, scheduled ENE conference.		
20			
21	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
22			
23	DATED: October 10, 2006 LAW OFFICES OF HARRIET ROSS		
24			
25	By/S/ Harriet Ross, Esq.		
26	Attorney for Plaintiff MERCEL GAY		
27			